
Confidential Minutes

Agenda item: 11
Drafted: 27.03.19

Confidential minutes of the Board meeting held on 26 February 2019 at Europoint, 5-11 Lavington Street, London, SE1 0NZ

These minutes are in addition to the public minutes of a meeting of the Board on the same date. The Board resolved, under section 15(2)(b) of schedule 18 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999, that by reason of the confidential nature of the item(s) to be discussed, it was desirable in the public interest that the public should be excluded for this part of the meeting.

Contents

1. Minutes and declarations of interest
2. Meeting review

Present

Members

Jackie Ballard, Safia Iman, Arthur Leathley (Chair), Laura Osborne, Abdi Osman,

Secretariat

Tim Bellenger

Director, Policy and Investigation

Janet Cooke

Chief Executive

Richard Freeston-Clough

Operations and Communications Manager

Luke Muskett

Committee and Public Liaison Officer

1 Minutes and declarations of interest

The confidential minutes of the meeting of 20 November 2018 were agreed and signed as a correct record. There were no additional declarations of interest.

2 Meeting review

The Chief Executive stated that it had been a challenging meeting for new members, who had not yet had any induction and had picked up items that had carried over from 2018. She added that she hoped that the TfL report presented by Charles Buckingham had helped to give newer Board members an informal induction and context into some of the work that London TravelWatch does.

The Director, Policy and Investigation had said that he had felt uncomfortable when discussing Andrew Haines' 100 day review in public due to the Chair's other role as Chair of Network Rail's Anglia Route Supervisory Board. He said that it could be perceived by some as a conflict of interest for the Chair.

The Chair clarified that he wished to find out how London TravelWatch was feeding into the review of the Network Rail's Chief Executive, Andrew Haines', first 100 days

in post. A member asked if the Director, Policy and Investigation did not want to tell the Chair what the organisation's feedback into the review was. The Director repeated that it could be perceived as a conflict of interest. The Chief Executive added that it was nothing personal against the Chair and that the key thing was the perception of conflict of interest, not fact. The member said that if staff were anxious about sharing information with the Board then discussions were needed in order to establish a level of trust.

The Chair thanked everyone for their time and closed the meeting.